

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/830,042	HOLT, JOHN M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAMES RUTTEN	2192	

All Participants:

(1) JAMES RUTTEN

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 14 May 2009

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed claim amendment (attached).*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

7, 31, 37, 38, 39, and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

Factor, Bal

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/J. Derek Rutten/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 5/14/09, Mr. Rutten indicated that an examiner's amendment would provide allowable subject matter. Mr. Ananian agreed to discuss the issue the the Applicant. On 6/2 - 6/4, further discussions regarding the proposed amendment showed that Applicants were not interested in adopting the examiner's suggestions.